

**Task 11- Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881****Marks: 15 marks****Time: 30 mins**

Q1) (a) Manoj owes money to Umesh. Therefore, he makes a promissory note for the amount in favour of Umesh, for safety of transmission he cuts the note in half and posts one half to Umesh. He then changes his mind and calls upon Umesh to return the half of the note which he had sent. Umesh requires Manoj to send the other half of the promissory note. Decide how rights of the parties are to be adjusted. Give your answer in reference to the Provisions of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. (4 marks)

(b) Explain the effect of 'Not Negotiable' crossing (3 marks)

Q2) (a) Discuss with reasons, whether the following persons can be called as a 'holder' under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881: (5 marks)

(i) X who obtains a cheque drawn by Y by way of gift.

(ii) A, the payee of the cheque, who is prohibited by a court order from receiving the amount of the cheque.

(iii) M, who finds a cheque payable to bearer, on the road and retains it.

(iv) B, the agent of C, is entrusted with an instrument without endorsement by C, who is the payee.

(v) B, who steals a blank cheque of A and forges A's signature.

(b) What is Sans Recourse endorsement? A bill of exchange is drawn payable to X or order. X endorses it to Y, Y to Z and Z to A, A to B and B to X. State with reasons whether X can recover the amount of the bill from Y, Z, A and B, if he has originally endorsed the bill to Y by adding the words sans recourse? (3 marks)

DISCUSS AND CHECK YOUR SOLUTION WITH ME!!

For Answer Discussion video follow the link

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jXxgpPNmy2c&list=PL0sBEDN99Ti5pajh79FrnXfk6EfcbrFXv&index=9>

THEORYMASTERS LEARNING



CA PREETI AGGARWAL

**CA INTER LAW**NEGOTIABLE
INSTRUMENTS ACT**For Video Lectures visit my website:****www.theorymasterslearning.com**